INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES
(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)
DOI : 03.2021-11278686 ISSN : 2582-8568 IMPACT FACTOR : 8.428 (SJIF 2026)

The Politics of Sustainable Agriculture: Evaluating India's Organic Farming Policies through a Governance Lens

Rajni Rathi

Student,
Amity Institute of Social Sciences,
Amity University,
Noida (Uttar Pradesh, India)

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/04.2026-39395618/IRJHIS2604027

Abstract:

The growing ecological crisis in agriculture has intensified the need for sustainable farming practices, particularly in developing countries like India, where agriculture remains central to livelihoods and food security. The expansion of chemical intensive agriculture during the Green Revolution significantly increased food production but also resulted in long term ecological and socio-economic challenges, including soil degradation, groundwater depletion, biodiversity loss, and rising input costs (Tilman et al., 2002; Shiva, 1991; Pingali, 2012). In this context, organic farming has emerged as a key alternative that emphasises ecological balance, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable resource management. However, the transition to organic agriculture is not merely a technical shift; it is shaped by governance structures, institutional arrangements, and political dynamics.

This study evaluates India's organic farming policies through a governance lens, focusing on how institutional frameworks, regulatory mechanisms, and political priorities influence policy implementation and outcomes. It examines major policy initiatives such as the National Programme for Organic Production (NPOP), Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY), and the Mission Organic Value Chain Development for the North Eastern Region (MOVCDNER). These programs aim to promote sustainable agriculture through financial support, certification systems, and value chain development. However, their effectiveness is constrained by governance challenges, including institutional fragmentation, weak coordination between central and state agencies, complex certification procedures, and limited market access (Birner & Resnick, 2010; Raynolds, 2004; Kumar & Singh, 2021).

The study further analyses the role of multiple stakeholders government institutions, certification bodies, farmer organisations, civil society, and market actors in shaping the governance of organic agriculture. It argues that inclusive participation, decentralised decision making, and strong institutional capacity are essential for effective policy implementation (Agarwal, 2010; Scoones, 2015). Additionally, the research situates organic farming within the broader political economy of agriculture, highlighting how subsidy regimes, market structures, and electoral considerations influence sustainability transitions (Bernstein, 2010).

The findings suggest that although India has made significant progress in promoting organic agriculture, existing policies remain fragmented and insufficiently integrated into the mainstream agricultural framework. Strengthening governance mechanisms through improved institutional coordination, simplified certification systems, enhanced extension services, and better market infrastructure is critical for achieving sustainable agricultural transformation. The study says that organic farming in India must be understood as a

governance driven and political process rather than merely an environmental or technical solution, and that effective governance is essential for ensuring ecological sustainability, rural livelihoods, and long-term food security.

Keywords: *Sustainable Agriculture, Organic Farming, Governance Framework, Agricultural Policy, Institutional Challenges, Political Economy*

Introduction:

Sustainable agriculture has emerged as a central concern in contemporary debates on development, environmental governance, and agrarian political economy. The rapid expansion of industrial and chemical intensive agriculture during the mid twentieth century, particularly under the Green Revolution, significantly increased food production and enabled countries such as India to achieve food self-sufficiency. However, this model of agricultural development has also generated profound ecological and socio-economic consequences. Scholars have highlighted issues such as soil degradation, groundwater depletion, loss of biodiversity, and increased vulnerability of farmers to market fluctuations and climatic uncertainties (Tilman et al., 2002; Shiva, 1991; Pingali, 2012). These challenges have led to a critical reassessment of conventional agricultural practices and renewed interest in sustainable alternatives.

In the Indian context, the importance of sustainable agriculture is particularly significant due to the sector's central role in livelihoods, rural employment, and food security. While the Green Revolution improved productivity, it also intensified regional inequalities and ecological pressures, especially in areas characterised by high input use and irrigation dependency (Frankel, 2005). Rising input costs, declining soil fertility, and agrarian distress have further exposed the limitations of chemical intensive farming systems. Against this backdrop, organic farming has gained prominence as a viable alternative that emphasises ecological balance, soil health, and long-term sustainability.

Organic agriculture is rooted in Agro ecological principles that prioritise biodiversity, nutrient cycling, and the use of natural inputs over synthetic chemicals. It draws upon both scientific knowledge and traditional farming practices that existed prior to the widespread adoption of industrial agriculture. Empirical studies suggest that organic farming systems can enhance soil quality, improve ecological resilience, and reduce environmental pollution (Pretty, 2008; Reganold & Wachter, 2016). However, the transition to organic farming is not without challenges. Farmers often face yield uncertainties during the initial transition period, along with difficulties related to certification, market access, and availability of organic inputs. These challenges highlight the need for supportive institutional frameworks and effective policy interventions.

Importantly, the promotion of organic farming must be understood not merely as a technical or environmental initiative but as a governance driven process shaped by political, institutional, and economic factors. The governance of agriculture involves multiple actors, including central and state governments, certification agencies, farmer organisations, civil society groups, and market institutions.

These actors operate within a complex network of policies, regulations, and power relations that influence the design and implementation of agricultural initiatives (Rhodes, 1996; Pierre & Peters, 2000). In this context, governance refers to the processes through which decisions are made, implemented, and monitored across different institutional levels.

India has introduced several policy initiatives to promote organic farming, including the National Programme for Organic Production (NPOP), Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY), and the Mission Organic Value Chain Development for the North Eastern Region (MOVCDNER). While these policies reflect a growing commitment to sustainable agriculture, their effectiveness varies due to differences in institutional capacity, coordination, and political priorities. Scholars have pointed out that fragmented institutional structures, weak extension services, and limited market infrastructure often hinder the successful implementation of organic farming policies (Birner & Resnick, 2010; Sharma & Jha, 2020).

Furthermore, the transition toward sustainable agriculture in India is deeply embedded in broader political economy dynamics. Agricultural policies are shaped by competing interests among stakeholders, including small farmers, agribusiness firms, policymakers, and environmental groups. Subsidy regimes, procurement systems, and electoral considerations often favour conventional agriculture, making it difficult for organic farming to gain mainstream policy support (Bernstein, 2010). As a result, organic agriculture in India has largely evolved through targeted programs rather than a comprehensive restructuring of the agricultural system.

This study seeks to analyse India's organic farming policies through a governance lens, focusing on how institutional arrangements, regulatory frameworks, and stakeholder interactions influence policy outcomes. By examining the political and administrative dimensions of sustainable agriculture, the article aims to identify key challenges, policy gaps, and opportunities for strengthening organic farming initiatives. Such an analysis is essential for understanding how governance mechanisms can support a more inclusive, resilient, and environmentally sustainable agricultural system in India.

1. Conceptual Foundations of Sustainable Agriculture and Organic Farming:

Sustainable agriculture has emerged as a critical paradigm in addressing the ecological and socio economic limitations of conventional farming systems. It is broadly defined as an approach that seeks to balance environmental health, economic profitability, and social equity. According to the Food and Agriculture Organisation, sustainable agriculture involves managing natural resources in a way that ensures food security while preserving ecological balance for future generations (FAO, 2014). This conceptual framework challenges the dominant model of industrial agriculture, which relies heavily on synthetic inputs, mono cropping, and resource intensive practices.

The theoretical foundations of sustainable agriculture are rooted in systems thinking and

ecological resilience. Scholars like Stephen Gliessman conceptualise agriculture as an Agro ecosystem, where biological, environmental, and human factors interact dynamically (Gliessman, 2015). This perspective emphasises biodiversity, nutrient cycling, and the minimisation of external inputs. Similarly, resilience theory highlights the capacity of agricultural systems to absorb shocks such as climate variability or market fluctuations while maintaining productivity (Scoones, 2015). These frameworks underline that sustainability is not a static goal but an adaptive and evolving process. Within this broader framework, organic farming represents a key pathway toward achieving sustainability. Organic agriculture is based on Agro ecological principles that prioritise natural inputs, ecological balance, and the regeneration of soil health. According to Miguel Altieri, Agro ecology integrates scientific knowledge with traditional farming practices to create sustainable and locally adapted agricultural systems (Altieri, 2002). Organic farming practices include crop rotation, composting, green manuring, intercropping, and biological pest control. These practices enhance soil fertility, promote biodiversity, and reduce environmental pollution.

In the context of India, the conceptual relevance of sustainable agriculture and organic farming is particularly significant. Indian agriculture is characterised by a predominance of small and marginal farmers who often face constraints such as limited access to credit, fragmented landholdings, and dependence on monsoonal rainfall. For these farmers, sustainable agriculture offers a pathway to reduce input costs and enhance resilience. At the same time, organic farming resonates with traditional agricultural practices that existed prior to the Green Revolution, which were inherently ecological and resource efficient (Reddy, 2010).

However, it is important to recognise that sustainable agriculture is not merely a technical or ecological concept; it is also deeply embedded in political and institutional contexts. The transition toward sustainability involves changes in policy priorities, resource allocation, and power relations within the agricultural sector. Conventional agriculture continues to receive significant state support in the form of subsidies for fertilisers, electricity, and irrigation, which creates structural barriers for the adoption of organic practices (Pingali, 2012). As a result, sustainable agriculture must be understood as a contested domain where different stakeholders farmers, policymakers, agribusiness firms, and civil society negotiate competing interests.

Moreover, the adoption of organic farming requires not only ecological knowledge but also institutional support, including access to certification, markets, and extension services. Without these enabling conditions, farmers may find it difficult to transition despite the long term benefits of sustainable practices. Therefore, the conceptual foundations of sustainable agriculture must be linked with governance frameworks that facilitate its practical implementation.

In essence, sustainable agriculture and organic farming represent a shift from input-intensive, growth-oriented models toward ecologically balanced and socially inclusive systems. This shift

requires rethinking agricultural development in terms of long-term sustainability rather than short term productivity gains. Understanding these conceptual foundations is essential for analysing the governance and policy dimensions of organic farming in India.

2. Political Economy of Sustainable Agriculture in India:

The transition toward sustainable agriculture in India cannot be understood without situating it within the broader political economy of the agrarian sector. Agricultural development in India has historically been shaped by state,led interventions aimed at ensuring food security, stabilising prices, and supporting farmer incomes. The Green Revolution marked a decisive shift in this trajectory by promoting high yielding varieties (HYVs), chemical fertilisers, pesticides, and irrigation infrastructure. While this model successfully increased agricultural productivity and reduced dependence on food imports, it also entrenched a system of input intensive farming supported by subsidies and institutional incentives (Frankel, 2005; Pingali, 2012).

Over time, this policy framework created structural dependencies that continue to influence agricultural practices. Subsidies for fertilisers, electricity, and irrigation have made conventional farming economically attractive, even when it leads to ecological degradation. According to political economy analyses, such subsidies function not only as economic tools but also as political instruments, as they are often used to secure electoral support from rural constituencies (Birner & Resnick, 2010). Consequently, policies that promote sustainable or organic farming struggle to gain equal prominence, as they may not yield immediate or visible benefits for large sections of the farming population.

From a theoretical standpoint, the agrarian question and class dynamics provide important insights into this transition. Henry Bernstein argues that agrarian change is shaped by class relations, access to resources, and the distribution of power within rural societies (Bernstein, 2010). In India, small and marginal farmers often lack the resources to experiment with new farming systems, including organic agriculture, due to risks associated with yield fluctuations and market uncertainties. In contrast, larger farmers or agribusiness entities may have greater capacity to adopt organic practices, particularly when linked to export markets. This uneven distribution of resources creates disparities in the adoption of sustainable agriculture.

Market structures further complicate the political economy of organic farming. The dominance of conventional supply chains, combined with limited infrastructure for organic produce, restricts market access for farmers. Organic products often require certification and specialised marketing channels to fetch premium prices. However, certification processes can be costly and bureaucratic, disproportionately affecting small farmers (Raynolds, 2004). As a result, the benefits of organic farming are not evenly distributed, and many farmers remain locked into conventional systems despite their long-term disadvantages.

Another critical dimension is the role of global and domestic markets in shaping agricultural

policies. The liberalisation of the Indian economy in the 1990s integrated agriculture into global trade networks, increasing exposure to price volatility and competition. While this has created opportunities for organic exports, it has also reinforced the dominance of market oriented production systems that prioritise efficiency and scale over sustainability (Gupta, 2012). The coexistence of global market pressures and domestic policy priorities creates tensions in the promotion of sustainable agriculture.

Electoral politics plays a significant role in shaping agricultural policy decisions. Governments often prioritise short term welfare measures such as loan waivers, minimum support prices (MSP), and input subsidies, which provide immediate relief to farmers but do not address long-term sustainability challenges. Organic farming, on the other hand, requires sustained investment, capacity building, and institutional support, making it less attractive in a political environment driven by short electoral cycles. This results in a policy bias toward conventional agriculture, even when its ecological costs are widely acknowledged.

Furthermore, India's federal structure adds another layer of complexity. Agricultural policy is a shared responsibility between the central and state governments, leading to variations in implementation across regions. States with strong political commitment and administrative capacity such as those promoting organic farming through dedicated missions have achieved better outcomes. However, in many states, limited institutional capacity and competing policy priorities hinder the effective implementation of sustainable agriculture initiatives (Sharma & Jha, 2020).

The political economy of sustainable agriculture is also shaped by the influence of non-state actors. Agribusiness firms, input suppliers, certification agencies, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) all play a role in shaping policy discourse and practice. While NGOs and civil society organisations often advocate for sustainable and organic farming, large agribusiness firms may resist changes that threaten existing input markets. This interplay of interests creates a contested policy environment where different actors compete to influence decision-making processes.

In addition, issues of knowledge and power are central to the political economy of agriculture. The dominance of scientific and technical knowledge associated with conventional agriculture often marginalises traditional and indigenous farming practices. However, organic farming relies heavily on local knowledge systems, which require recognition and institutional support. Scholars like Vandana Shiva have emphasised the importance of reclaiming indigenous knowledge as part of sustainable agricultural practices (Shiva, 1991).

The political economy of sustainable agriculture in India reveals that the transition to organic farming is not merely a matter of adopting new techniques but involves navigating complex power relations, institutional structures, and policy priorities. The persistence of subsidies, market constraints, and electoral considerations creates significant barriers to the widespread adoption of sustainable practices. Addressing these challenges requires rethinking agricultural policies in a way that aligns

economic incentives with ecological sustainability, while also ensuring equitable access to resources and opportunities for all farmers.

3. Governance Framework and Institutional Mechanisms:

The promotion of organic farming in India must be understood through the lens of governance, which encompasses the institutions, processes, and actors involved in policy formulation, implementation, and regulation. Unlike traditional government centric approaches, governance emphasises the interaction between multiple stakeholders across different levels of authority. As conceptualised by R.A.W. Rhodes, governance refers to "self-organizing, inter organisational networks" characterised by interdependence, resource exchange, and negotiated decision-making (Rhodes, 1996). In the context of sustainable agriculture, this implies that the success of organic farming policies depends not only on state intervention but also on the coordination among public institutions, private actors, and civil society organisations.

India's governance framework for organic farming operates within a **multi level institutional structure**, involving the central government, state governments, local institutions, and non-state actors. At the central level, ministries such as the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare and the Ministry of Commerce and Industry play a key role in policy formulation, funding allocation, and regulatory oversight. Programs like the National Programme for Organic Production (NPOP) establish standards for organic certification and facilitate integration into global markets. However, central policies often require adaptation to regional conditions, which places significant responsibility on state governments.

State governments act as the primary implementing agencies for organic farming initiatives. They design state specific schemes, provide extension services, and facilitate farmer participation. However, the effectiveness of implementation varies widely across states due to differences in administrative capacity, political commitment, and resource availability (Sharma & Jha, 2020). This variation highlights a key feature of India's governance system decentralisation combined with uneven institutional capacity.

At the local level, governance mechanisms involve Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs), farmer producer organisations (FPOs), and community based groups. These institutions play a crucial role in mobilising farmers, facilitating knowledge exchange, and ensuring participatory decision making. Elinor Ostrom's theory of collective action underscores the importance of local institutions in managing common resources and promoting sustainable practices (Ostrom, 1990). In the context of organic farming, local governance structures can enhance accountability, build trust, and support community based certification systems such as the Participatory Guarantee System (PGS).

A key component of the governance framework is the **institutional mechanism of certification and regulation**. Organic certification ensures the credibility of products in both domestic

and international markets. In India, certification operates through two primary systems ,third party certification under NPOP and participatory certification under PGS. While third party certification is essential for exports, it is often costly and complex, limiting access for small farmers. PGS, on the other hand, is more inclusive and cost effective but faces challenges related to market recognition and standardisation (Raynolds, 2004). This dual system reflects the tension between global market requirements and local inclusivity.

Another critical dimension of governance is **policy coordination and institutional integration**. Multiple ministries and agencies are involved in organic farming, leading to overlaps and fragmentation. For instance, agricultural production, rural development, trade, and environmental sustainability are governed by different institutional frameworks, often with limited coordination. According to Pierre and Peters (2000), effective governance requires policy coherence and coordination across sectors. In India, the lack of such coordination often results in inefficiencies, duplication of efforts, and gaps in implementation.

The role of **extension services and knowledge systems** is also central to governance. Organic farming requires specialised knowledge, including soil management, pest control, and crop diversification. However, India's agricultural extension system has historically been oriented toward promoting chemical-intensive farming. This creates a mismatch between policy goals and institutional capacity. Strengthening extension services to support organic practices is essential for effective governance (Birner & Resnick, 2010).

In addition to state institutions, **non state actors** play a significant role in governance. Non-governmental organisations (NGOs), certification bodies, private companies, and farmer cooperatives contribute to capacity building, market development, and policy advocacy. These actors often fill gaps left by state institutions, particularly in areas such as training and market access. According to Bina Agarwal (2010), inclusive governance that involves diverse stakeholders enhances accountability and improves policy outcomes.

Market institutions also form an integral part of the governance framework. Value chains, supply networks, and retail systems influence the economic viability of organic farming. Weak market infrastructure, including inadequate storage, transportation, and processing facilities, limits the effectiveness of policy interventions. Governance mechanisms must therefore address not only production but also the entire value chain.

Transparency and accountability are essential components of effective governance. Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are necessary to assess policy outcomes and ensure that benefits reach intended beneficiaries. However, in many cases, data gaps and weak monitoring systems hinder effective evaluation of organic farming initiatives.

In conclusion, the governance framework for organic farming in India is characterised by multi

level institutions, diverse actors, and complex interactions. While this structure allows flexibility and local adaptation, it also creates challenges related to coordination, capacity, and accountability. Strengthening governance mechanisms through improved institutional coordination, enhanced extension services, inclusive participation, and robust regulatory systems is essential for the successful promotion of sustainable agriculture. The governance perspective thus highlights that the effectiveness of organic farming policies depends not only on their design but also on the institutional and political processes through which they are implemented.

4. Policy Initiatives and Implementation Challenges:

The promotion of organic farming in India has been supported by a range of policy initiatives introduced over the past two decades. These initiatives reflect a growing recognition within the state of the need to transition toward sustainable agricultural practices. However, while policy intent has strengthened, the effectiveness of these initiatives depends significantly on their design, implementation mechanisms, and the broader governance environment in which they operate.

One of the most important policy frameworks is the **National Programme for Organic Production (NPOP)**, launched by the Government of India to regulate standards for organic farming and certification. NPOP provides guidelines for production, processing, labelling, and export of organic products, thereby enabling India's participation in global organic markets. It aligns with international standards and has facilitated the growth of organic exports. However, scholars have pointed out that its reliance on third party certification makes it expensive and bureaucratically complex, particularly for small and marginal farmers (Raynolds, 2004). This creates a structural barrier that limits widespread adoption.

To address issues of inclusivity, the government introduced the **Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY)**, which promotes organic farming through a cluster based approach. Under this scheme, farmers are organised into clusters and encouraged to adopt organic practices collectively. The use of the Participatory Guarantee System (PGS) reduces certification costs and emphasises peer based verification. PKVY also focuses on training, capacity building, and the use of locally available resources. Despite these advantages, the scheme faces challenges such as limited financial support, inadequate market linkages, and weak monitoring mechanisms, which restrict its long term impact (Scoones, 2015).

Another significant initiative is the **Mission Organic Value Chain Development for the North Eastern Region (MOVCDNER)**, which adopts a holistic value chain approach. Unlike earlier programs that focused primarily on production, MOVCDNER integrates production, processing, marketing, and export. It aims to enhance farmers' incomes by linking them to markets and developing infrastructure such as collection centres and processing units. While the program has shown promise in promoting organic farming in the North Eastern states, it faces logistical challenges, including poor

connectivity, limited infrastructure, and difficulties in scaling up operations (Kumar et al., 2020).

In addition to these flagship programs, several state governments have launched their own organic farming initiatives. For instance, states like Sikkim have adopted comprehensive policies to transition entirely to organic agriculture, demonstrating the potential of strong political commitment and coordinated governance. However, such success stories remain limited, and most states continue to face implementation challenges due to resource constraints and competing policy priorities.

A key challenge across all policy initiatives is **institutional fragmentation**. Multiple ministries and agencies are involved in the promotion of organic farming, including those responsible for agriculture, rural development, commerce, and environment. This often leads to overlapping responsibilities, lack of coordination, and inefficiencies in implementation. According to governance scholars, effective policy outcomes require coherence and integration across institutions, which remains a weak area in India's organic farming framework (Pierre & Peters, 2000).

Certification complexity is another major barrier. While certification is essential for ensuring product credibility and accessing premium markets, the process can be time consuming and costly. Small farmers, who constitute the majority of India's agricultural population, often lack the financial and technical resources to navigate certification requirements. Although PGS has addressed some of these issues, it is not universally accepted in export markets, limiting its effectiveness.

Market-related challenges further hinder policy success. Organic farming is economically viable only when farmers can access markets that offer premium prices. However, weak supply chains, lack of storage and transportation infrastructure, and limited consumer awareness reduce market opportunities. Farmers often face difficulties in selling their produce at remunerative prices, which discourages them from adopting organic practices (Gupta, 2012).

Another critical issue is the **lack of effective extension services and capacity building**. Organic farming requires specialised knowledge, including soil management, pest control, and crop diversification. However, India's extension system remains largely oriented toward conventional agriculture. This knowledge gap creates uncertainty among farmers and slows the adoption of organic practices (Birner & Resnick, 2010).

Financial constraints also pose significant challenges. While government schemes provide some level of support, funding is often insufficient and irregular. Organic farming involves a transition period during which yields may decline, making financial assistance crucial. Without adequate support, farmers may be reluctant to shift from conventional to organic systems.

Finally, **regional disparities** in implementation highlight the uneven nature of policy outcomes. States with better administrative capacity, infrastructure, and political will have achieved relatively greater success, while others lag behind. This reflects broader inequalities within India's federal system and underscores the importance of strengthening state level governance mechanisms.

In the end, while India has developed a comprehensive set of policy initiatives to promote organic farming, their effectiveness is constrained by multiple implementation challenges. Institutional fragmentation, certification barriers, weak market linkages, inadequate extension services, and financial limitations collectively hinder the transition to sustainable agriculture. Addressing these challenges requires a more integrated policy approach, stronger institutional coordination, and greater emphasis on market development and farmer support. Only through such measures can organic farming policies achieve their intended goals of ecological sustainability and improved rural livelihoods.

5. Role of Markets, Civil Society, and Future Prospects:

The success of organic farming in India depends not only on state policies but also on the broader ecosystem of markets, civil society institutions, and emerging development trajectories. While government initiatives provide the foundational framework, it is the interaction between market forces and non state actors that ultimately determines the viability and sustainability of organic agriculture. This section examines how these actors influence the growth of organic farming and explores future prospects for sustainable agriculture in India.

A critical factor shaping the adoption of organic farming is the **structure and functioning of markets**. Organic agriculture is often associated with premium pricing due to higher production costs and certification requirements. However, for farmers to benefit from these premiums, efficient and accessible market systems are essential. In India, organic markets remain underdeveloped, with fragmented supply chains, limited infrastructure, and inadequate distribution networks. Farmers frequently face challenges in accessing reliable buyers, which reduces the economic incentives to adopt organic practices (Raynolds, 2004).

Certification plays a central role in market governance by ensuring the credibility and traceability of organic products. While certification systems such as NPOP and PGS aim to standardise quality, they also create barriers to entry, particularly for small farmers. Moreover, domestic consumer awareness of organic products is still evolving, which limits demand in local markets. As a result, a significant portion of certified organic produce is oriented toward export markets, where demand and price premiums are more stable. This export orientation, however, exposes farmers to global market fluctuations and competition (Gupta, 2012).

The development of **organic value chains** is crucial for improving market access and enhancing farmer incomes. Value chains encompass production, processing, storage, transportation, and retailing. Weak infrastructure such as lack of cold storage, processing facilities, and efficient logistics restricts the growth of organic markets in India. Strengthening these components is essential for integrating farmers into profitable markets and ensuring the long-term sustainability of organic agriculture.

Alongside markets, **civil society organisations and non state actors** play a pivotal role in promoting organic farming. Non-governmental organisations (NGOs), farmer producer organisations (FPOs), cooperatives, and grassroots movements contribute to awareness generation, capacity building, and knowledge dissemination. These actors often bridge the gap between policy and practice by working directly with farmers and communities.

Scholars like Bina Agarwal emphasise that participatory governance and community involvement enhance the effectiveness of development initiatives (Agarwal, 2010). In the context of organic farming, civil society organisations facilitate collective action, support participatory certification systems, and promote sustainable agricultural practices rooted in local knowledge. They also advocate for policy reforms and hold institutions accountable, thereby strengthening governance mechanisms.

Another important contribution of civil society is the **revival of traditional knowledge systems**. Organic farming in India draws heavily on indigenous practices that were marginalised during the Green Revolution. Civil society initiatives have played a key role in documenting, preserving, and promoting these practices, thereby integrating traditional knowledge with modern Agro ecological approaches (Shiva, 1991). This integration enhances both ecological sustainability and cultural relevance.

Looking ahead, the **future prospects of organic farming in India** are shaped by several emerging trends. First, increasing awareness of environmental issues and health concerns is driving consumer demand for organic products. Urban markets, in particular, are witnessing a gradual shift toward organic consumption, creating new opportunities for farmers.

Second, climate change has intensified the need for resilient agricultural systems. Organic farming, with its emphasis on soil health and biodiversity, offers a viable strategy for climate adaptation and mitigation. Policymakers are increasingly recognising this potential, which may lead to greater integration of organic farming into national climate strategies.

Third, technological advancements and digital platforms are transforming agricultural markets. E commerce, digital supply chains, and traceability systems can enhance market access and transparency for organic products. These innovations have the potential to overcome some of the structural barriers that currently limit the growth of organic farming.

However, several challenges remain. The lack of large scale infrastructure, inconsistent policy support, and limited financial incentives continue to constrain the expansion of organic agriculture. Moreover, ensuring inclusivity is critical, as small and marginal farmers must be able to participate meaningfully in organic markets. Without targeted interventions, there is a risk that organic farming may become concentrated among larger or export oriented producers.

The role of markets and civil society is central to the governance and success of organic farming

in India. While markets determine economic viability, civil society ensures inclusivity, participation, and sustainability. The future of organic agriculture depends on strengthening these linkages through better infrastructure, increased awareness, technological innovation, and supportive policy frameworks. A coordinated approach that integrates state action with market development and civil society participation is essential for realising the full potential of sustainable agriculture in India.

Conclusion:

The analysis of sustainable agriculture and organic farming in India demonstrates that the transition toward environmentally sustainable and socially equitable farming systems is not merely a technical adjustment but a deeply political and institutional process. While organic farming offers a viable alternative to the ecological and economic limitations of conventional agriculture, its widespread adoption depends on the alignment of conceptual frameworks, political economy dynamics, governance structures, and policy implementation mechanisms.

At the conceptual level, sustainable agriculture emphasises the integration of ecological balance, economic viability, and social justice. Organic farming, rooted in Agro ecological principles, provides a practical pathway to achieve these goals by enhancing soil health, conserving biodiversity, and reducing dependence on external inputs. However, the persistence of input intensive practices, institutional inertia, and policy biases rooted in the legacy of the Green Revolution continue to shape agricultural development trajectories.

From a political economy perspective, the dominance of subsidy driven conventional agriculture, electoral considerations, and unequal access to resources create structural barriers to the adoption of sustainable practices. Farmers, particularly small and marginal ones, face significant risks in transitioning to organic farming due to uncertainties in yields, market access, and income stability. These challenges are further compounded by market inefficiencies, certification complexities, and limited institutional support.

The governance analysis reveals that while India has developed a multi level framework involving central, state, and local institutions, it is often characterised by fragmentation, weak coordination, and uneven implementation. Policy initiatives such as NPOP, PKVY, and MOVCDNER reflect a growing commitment to organic farming, yet their impact is constrained by gaps in institutional capacity, extension services, and financial support. At the same time, the role of non state actors, including civil society organisations and farmer collectives, highlights the importance of participatory and inclusive governance in bridging these gaps.

Markets and value chains emerge as critical determinants of the economic viability of organic farming. Without robust infrastructure, efficient supply chains, and consumer awareness, farmers are unable to realise the benefits of premium pricing associated with organic produce. Civil society plays a vital role in strengthening these linkages, promoting knowledge dissemination, and advocating for

sustainable practices rooted in local contexts.

Looking forward, the future of organic farming in India lies in adopting an integrated approach that combines strong governance mechanisms, supportive policy frameworks, and active participation from market and civil society actors. This includes simplifying certification systems, enhancing institutional coordination, investing in infrastructure, and aligning agricultural policies with sustainability goals. Additionally, the growing relevance of climate change and environmental concerns provides an opportunity to reposition organic farming as a central component of national development strategies.

Achieving sustainable agriculture in India requires a fundamental shift from short term productivity oriented policies to long term sustainability oriented governance. Organic farming must be mainstreamed within agricultural policy rather than treated as a peripheral initiative. Only through a coordinated and inclusive approach can India move toward a resilient, equitable, and ecologically sustainable agricultural system that ensures food security and improved livelihoods for future generations.

References:

1. Agarwal, B. (2010). Gender and green governance: The political economy of women's presence within and beyond community forestry. Oxford University Press.
2. Altieri, M. A. (2002). Agroecology: The science of natural resource management for poor farmers in marginal environments. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 93(1-3), 1-24.
3. Birner, R., & Resnick, D. (2010). The political economy of policies for smallholder agriculture. World Development, 38(10), 1442-1452.
4. Conway, G. (1997). The doubly green revolution: Food for all in the twenty-first century. Penguin Books.
5. FAO. (2018). The future of food and agriculture: Alternative pathways to 2050. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations.
6. Government of India. (2015). Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana: Operational guidelines. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare.
7. Government of India. (2017). Mission Organic Value Chain Development for North Eastern Region: Framework and guidelines. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare.
8. Government of India. (2020). National Programme for Organic Production. Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA).
9. Hall, P. A., & Taylor, R. C. R. (1996). Political science and the three new institutionalism. Political Studies, 44(5), 936-957.
10. IAASTD. (2009). Agriculture at a crossroads. International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development.

11. Kumar, S., & Singh, S. (2021). Organic farming in India: Policy gaps and governance challenges. Journal of Rural Development, 40(3), 341-360.
12. McMichael, P. (2012). Development and social change: A global perspective (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
13. Mehta, L., Scoones, I., & Stirling, A. (2019). Pathways to sustainability: Transforming food systems. Science and Public Policy, 46(6), 803-813.
14. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare. (2021). Annual report 2020–21. Government of India.
15. Niti Aayog. (2018). Strategy for new India @75. Government of India.
16. Pretty, J. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles and evidence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 363(1491), 447-465.
17. Raynolds, L. T. (2004). The globalisation of organic agro food networks. World Development, 32(5), 725–743.
18. Scoones, I. (2015). Sustainable livelihoods and rural development. Practical Action Publishing.
19. Scoones, I., Leach, M., & Newell, P. (2015). The politics of green transformations. Routledge. Sharma, A., & Jha, S. (2020). Governance of organic agriculture in India: Institutional analysis.
20. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 75(4), 489-503.
21. Singh, R. B., & Grover, D. K. (2011). Organic farming in India: An overview. Agricultural Economics Research Review, 24, 373-386.
22. Stone, G. D. (2016). Peasant seed systems and the social dynamics of seed. Oxford University Press.
23. UNEP. (2016). Food systems and natural resources. United Nations Environment Programme.
24. Vasavi, A. R. (2012). Shadow space: Suicides and the predicament of rural India. Three Essays Collective.
25. World Bank. (2017). Enabling the business of agriculture. World Bank Publications.
26. World Bank. (2020). Transforming agriculture for climate resilience. World Bank Group.